

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|--|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/911,563 | 07/24/2001 | | Thomas P. Osypka | 695716.0016 (OSCO-114) | 6118 |
| 21832 | 7590 | 06/10/2003 | | • | |
| | | LOCKWOOD | EXAMINER | | |
| GRANITE S 700 STATE | STREET | | MAYNARD, JENNIFER J | | |
| | P O BOX 1960 NEW HAVEN, CT 06509-1960 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | , | | | 3763 | Q_i |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 06/10/2003 | 9 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | <u> </u> | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| Advisory Action | 09/911,563 | OSYPKA ET AL. | | | | | |
| , ianoei, y riessess | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Jennifer J Maynard | 3763 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | avoid abandonment of this application in the sapplication is applicated an endment which it is applicated as the sapplication in the sapplication is applicated as the sapplication in the sapplication is applicated as the sapplication is applica | cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date o | f the final rejection. | - 5 Incipation which over in leter In po | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data | nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee | | | | | |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ision and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in | the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | | |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | • | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | t be allowable if submitted in a s | separate, timely filed amendment | | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _ | | sidered but does NOT place the | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were newly | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w | it(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or t rould be rejected is provided bel | o)⊡ will be entered and an low or appended. | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | : | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-12, 16 and 25-33. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 13-15 and | <u> 17-24</u> . | | | | | | |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| . Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| S Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | | |

or tune 2003

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has amended Independent Claim 1 to add limitations with respect to the cylindrical plug body and the vascular access port, i.e. the plug body having an outer diameter that is substantially equal to an inner diameter of the lumen of the access port, these limitations have not been previously considered on their merits therefore requiring further consideration and/or search. With respect to independent Claim 25, Applicant has added a limitation with respect to the vascular port, i.e. the central lumen of the vascular access port being bounded by a continuous, uninterrupted outer wall, this limitation has not been previously considered on its merits therefore requiring further consideration and/or search.

BRIAN I CASLE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700